Overview of MYX (MUST correctness checking for YML and XMP)

Taisuke Boku

Deputy Director, Center for Computational Sciences University of Tsukuba

Project partner organizations: RWTH Aachen University, Germany Maison de la Simulation, France University of Tsukuba, Japan



Motivation





Consortium

- MYX builds on successful preliminary work and collaboration:
 - FP3C: French-Japanese collaboration on YML and XMP for over 10 years
 - JST-CREST: Japanese Exascale research program supporting XMP
 - MUST: scalable correctness checking tool for MPI (and OpenMP)













Partner from Germany (project coordinator) RWTH Aachen University

IT Center and Institute for High Performance Computing Prof. Matthias S. Mueller, Joachim Protze, Christian Terboven

Partner from Japan

University of Tsukuba, Center for Computational Sciences, and Advanced Institute of Computational Science, RIKEN Prof. Taisuke Boku, Hitoshi Murai, Miwako Tsuji

Partner from France

Maison de la Simulation

Prof. Serge Petiton. Prof. Nahid Emad



Research Challenges and Project Results

- The more parallelism expressed, the higher the chance of errors being made
- Time of programming error search and fix: productivity loss!
 - Automatic correctness checking may be used to avoid that
- MYX objectives are
 - enable productivity improvements by means of scalable correctness checking
 - of YML- and XMP-programs
 - XMP: PGAS, with both global-view and local-view
 - YML: graph of components language
 - guide the development of future programming models
- MYX will result in
 - a clear guidance how to limit the risk to introduce errors,
 - how to best express parallelism to catch errors at runtime,
 - extended and scalable correctness checking methods, including PGAS



Initial results for defect classification

- Degree of non-determinism:
 - Strict rules minimize "design issues" \rightarrow detection of issues
 - Loose rules provide more freedom in application / algorithm
- More constraints \rightarrow issues can be detected at compile time/runtime
 - Classification of constraints as static, dynamic or global properties
 - Exercised for XMP:
 - Static constraints can be analyzed at compile time
 - Dynamic constraints can be analyzed at runtime
 - Global constraints can be analyzed at runtime with global knowledge
- Memory model:
 - How is synchronization defined?
 - What is the intended behavior for unsynchronized memory access?



How many errors can you spot in this tiny example?

```
#include <mpi.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int main (int argc, char** argv)
{
  int rank, size, buf[8];
  MPI Comm rank (MPI COMM WORLD, &rank);
  MPI Comm size (MPI COMM WORLD, &size);
  MPI Datatype type;
  MPI Type contiguous (2, MPI INTEGER, &type);
  MPI Recv (buf, 2, MPI INT, size - rank, 123, MPI COMM WORLD, MPI STATUS IGNORE);
  MPI Send (buf, 2, type, size - rank, 123, MPI COMM WORLD);
  printf ("Hello, I am rank %d of %d.¥n", rank, size);
  return 0;
}
```

At least 8 issues in this code example



How many errors can you spot in this tiny example?





Overview of defect classification from tiny MPI example

	Static	Dynamic
Local	Fortran Type in C	MPI_Init before first MPI call Rank out of range Type not committed before use Type not freed before finalize No call to MPI_Finalize
Global		Recv-Recv Deadlock Type matching in messages



Examples of defect classification for XMP

	Static	Dynamic
Local	 <i>async-id</i> must be of type default integer <i>array-name</i> must be declared before align directive 	 The node set specified in the on clause must be a subset of the parent node set. The source node specified by the from clause must belong to the node set specified by the on clause of bcast.
Global		 Collective consistency Deadlock Co-array data race



Abstract data / controlflow for correctness tools





Application Execution with XMP/YML



Center for Computational Sciences, Univ. of Tsukuba

Outline of XcalableMP (XMP) language

- Execution model: SPMD (=MPI)
- Two programming model on data view
 - Global View (PGAS): based on data parallel concept, directives similar to OpenMP is used for data and task distribution (easy programming)
 - Local View (Coarray): based on local data and explicit communication (easy performance tuning)
- OpenMP-like directives
 - Incremental parallelization from original sequential code
 - Low cost for parallelization -> high productivity
- Not "fully automatic parallelization", but user must do:
 - Each node processes the local data on that node
 - User can clearly imagine the data distribution and parallelization for easiness of tuning
 - Communication target of variables (arrays) and partitions can be simply specified
 - Communication point is specified by user, in easy manner
- Running on many platforms: K Computer, PC clusters, Fujitsu MPP
 - → planned to run also on Post-K Computer





XMPT Tool I/F

- A tool API of XMP (including XACC)
- Objective:
 - providing a more generic tool API of XMP.
- Basic ideas inspired by OMPT (OpenMP Tools API)
 - event- and callback-based
- Planned targets:
 - MUST correctness checking tool (SPPEXA)
 - Score-P / Scalasca (JSC)
 - Extrae (BSC)

SPPEXA Workshop

etc.



Basic Design of XMPT





List of XMPT Events

- xmpt_event_task_begin
- xmpt_event_task_end
- xmpt_event_tasks_begin
- xmpt_event_tasks_end
- xmpt_event_loop_begin
- xmpt_event_loop_end
- xmpt_event_array_begin
- xmpt_event_array_end
- xmpt_event_reflect_begin
- xmpt_event_reflect_begin_async
- xmpt_event_reflect_end
- xmpt_event_gmove_begin
- xmpt_event_gmove_begin_async
- xmpt_event_gmove_end
- xmpt_event_barrier_begin

- xmpt_event_barrier_end
- xmpt_event_reduction_begin
- xmpt_event_reduction_begin_async
- xmpt_event_reduction_end
- xmpt_event_bcast_begin
- xmpt_event_bcast_begin_async
- xmpt_event_bcast_end
- xmpt_event_wait_async_begin
- xmpt_event_wait_async_end
- xmpt_event_coarray_remote_write
- xmpt_event_coarray_remote_read
- xmpt_event_coarray_local_write
- xmpt_event_coarray_local_read
- xmpt_event_sync_memory_begin
- xmpt_event_sync_memory_end

- xmpt_event_sync_all_begin
- xmpt_event_sync_all_end
- xmpt_event_sync_image_begin
- xmpt_event_sync_image_end
- xmpt_event_sync_images_all_begin
- xmpt_event_sync_images_all_end
- xmpt_event_sync_images_begin
- xmpt_event_sync_images_end

coarray events



SPPEXA Workshop

Correctness Checking of XMP Programs Using XMPT

Errors in the XMP directives

n = xmp_node_num()
!\$xmp bcast (a(n)*)

An error in collectiveness of the bcast directve

Data races of coarrays

 MUST could detect data races of coarrays using additional XMPT events on coarray accesses and *image control* statements.

Accesses of a coarray on multiple images in unordered segments could causes data race.





Summary and outlook

- Improved programming models and environments are important for Exascale and beyond.
- Project goals and achievements of MYX
 - Extend correctness checking to XMP and YML
 - Improve productivity of XMP based codes toward Post-K Computer and many platforms
 - Improve existing parallel programming paradigms based on MPI
 - Develop high level abstractions to express parallelism based on YML scheduler/dispatcher with XMP

