Making Reproducibility Indispensable: Changing the Incentives that Drive Computational Science

Presented at SPPEXA Workshop March 2019

Paris, France

Michael A. Heroux Senior Scientist, Sandia National Laboratories Director of Software Technology, US Exascale Computing Project

Office of









My Background

- 1998 now: Staff member at Sandia National Labs
 - Lead these projects:
 - ECP SW Technology since Nov 2017. □
 - Trilinos: collection of scientific libraries trilinos.github.io.
 - Mantevo: "Miniapps" project for HPC co-design mantevo.github.io
 - IDEAS Productivity: Scientific Productivity and sustainability ideas-productivity.org
 - HPCG Benchmark: Complementary benchmark for Top 500 hpcg-benchmark.org
 - Better Scientific Software: Portal and content for productivity and sustainability bssw.io

- SC18 Reproducibility Chair, SC19 special role
- Concurrent: Scientist in Residence, St. John's University, MN USA
- 1988 1998: Staff member at Cray Research
 - 88 93: Math libraries developer, sparse solvers, LAPACK, BLAS: LIBSCI
 - 93 95: Application analyst, computational engineering group: FIDAP, Fluent, Star-CD.
 - 95 98: Scalable systems applications specialist: Cray T3E "MPP".



TRILINDS







Outline

- Increasing focus on reproducibility.
- Reproducibility dynamics.
- Publications.
- Software quality.
- Community.
- Personal Productivity Commitment.
- Reproducibility as a Keystone Habit.







Reproducibility is essential

4



Staff of the the Reproducibility Project at the Center for Open Science in Charlottesville, Va., from left: Mallory Kidwell, Courtney Soderberg, Johanna Cohoon and Brian Nosek. Dr. Nosek and his team led an attempt to replicate the findings of 100 social science studies. Andrew Shurtleff for The New York Times

Reproducibility

- NY Times highlights "problems".
- Only one of many cited examples.
- Computational science *had* been spared this "spotlight".

http://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/28/science/many-social-science-findings-not-as-strong-as-claimed-study-says.html?_r=0







Computational Science Example

- Behavior of pure water just above homogeneous nucleation temperature (~ - 40 C/F).
- Debenedetti/Princeton (2009):
 - 2 possible phases: High or low density.
- Chandler/Berkeley (2011):
 - Only 1 phase: High density.
- No sharing of details across teams until 2016:
 - Chandler in Nature: "LAMMPS codes used in refs 5 and 12 are standard and documented, with scripts freely available upon request."
 - Debenedetti with colleague Palmer: "Send us your code."
 - Received code after requests and appeal to Nature.

JUEIIEUUU The war over How a hidden coding error fueled a seven year dispute between two of condensed matters Comments

ICS TODA.

Source: https://physicstoday.scitation.org/do/10.1063/PT.6.1.20180822a/full/





Computational Science Example

- Palmer located bug/feature in Berkeley code.
- Used to speed up LAMMPS execution.
- Replaced with more standard approach.
- Obtained result similar to Debenedetti 2009.
- Resolution took 7 years.



Source: https://physicstoday.scitation.org/do/10.1063/PT.6.1.20180822a/full/

For Palmer, the ordeal exemplifies the importance of transparency in scientific research, an issue that has recently drawn heightened attention in the science community. "One of the real travesties," he says, is that "there's no way you could have reproduced [the Berkeley team's] algorithm—the way they had implemented their code—from reading their paper." Presumably, he adds, "if this had been disclosed, this saga might not have gone on for seven years."





⁸ Better Productivity and Sustainability

Essential for affordable reproducibility

Tradeoffs: Better, faster, cheaper

- "Better, faster, cheaper: Pick two of the three."
 - Scenario: (Today)

You are behind in developing a sophisticated new model in your software that you want to use for results in an upcoming paper.

- Which of these could be reasonable choices?
 - Develop a simpler model for the paper.
 - Set other work aside and spend more time on development.
 - Ask for an extension on the paper deadline.
 - Develop sophisticated model, but don't test its correctness.
 - Develop sophisticated model, but don't document it or check it in.



Improved developer productivity

"Better, faster, cheaper: Pick all three." – Near term. Scenario: (6 months later) After investing in **developer productivity improvements**, you are on time in developing a sophisticated new model in your software that you want to use for results in an upcoming paper.

Invest in developer tools, processes, practices.



Improved software sustainability

"Better, faster, cheaper: Pick all three." – Long term. Scenario: (3 years later) After investing in software sustainability improvements, you are on time in developing several sophisticated new models in your software that you want to use for results in upcoming papers.

Invest in testing, documentation, integration for long-term software usability.



Which of These Enhance Reproducibility?

- Code written by first-year, untrained grad student.
- Tuning for high performance.
- Dynamic parallelism of modern processors.
- Better software testing.
- Source code and versioning management.
- Investing in developer productivity.
- Investing in software sustainability.



Incentives Demand Investments, Enabled by Investments



Common statement: "I would love to do a better job on my software, but I need to:

- Get this paper submitted.
- Complete this project task.
- Do something my employer values more.

Goal: Change incentives to include value of better software, better science.

Reproducible vs Replicable

Addressing Confusion in Taxonomies

14

SANDIA REPORT

SAND2018-11186 Unlimited Release Printed October 2018

Toward a Compatible Reproducibility Taxonomy for Computational and Computing Sciences

Michael A. Heroux, Lorena A. Barba, Manish Parashar, Victoria Stodden and Michela Taufer

Prepared by Sandia National Laboratories Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185 and Livermore, California 94550

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.



15 SPPEXA Workshop, Michael A. Heroux, Université de Versailles

Table 1: Definitions of Reproducible and Replicable

Table 1: Claerbout/Donoho/Peng (Claerbout) and ACM definitions of Reproducible and Replicable. Claerbout definitions are prevalent in the computational science literature and have been used since the 1990s. The ACM definitions are used by ACM in its Artifact Review and Badging effort and first appeared in February 2013.

Term	Claerbout	ACM
Reproducible	Authors provide all the necessary data and the computer codes to run the analysis again, re- creating the results.	(Different team, different experimental setup.) The measurement can be obtained with stated precision by a different team, a different measuring system, in a different location on multiple trials. For computational experiments, this means that an independent group can obtain the same result using artifacts which they develop completely independently.
Replicable	A new study arrives at the same scientific findings as a previous study, collecting new data (with the same or different methods) and completes new analyses.	(Different team, same experimental setup.) The measurement can be obtained with stated precision by a different team using the same measurement procedure, the same measuring system, under the same operating conditions, in the same or a different location on multiple trials. For computational experiments, this means that an independent group can obtain the same result using the author's own artifacts.











Home / NISO I/O

New NISO Project: Badging Scheme for Reproducibility in the **Computational and Computing Sciences**

January 2019

Call for Participation

NISO voting members have approved a new project, Recommended Practice: Toward a Compatible Taxonomy, Definitions, and Recognition Badging Scheme for Reproducibility in the Computational and Computing Sciences. As publishers and researchers are placing greater emphasis on the practice of reproducibility as an essential ingredient of the scientific research process, it is critical to make compatible the taxonomies used to define the various levels of reproducibility and to agree on a standardized badging scheme that can be applied in the publishing process. This project will forge agreement and move toward a common vocabulary, focusing on standardization across the Computational and Computing Sciences. Those who are interested in participating on this working group should contact NISO Associate Director of Programs, Nettie Lagace, at nlagace@niso.org.

See the Approved Project Proposal















ACM TOMS Replicated Computational Results (RCR)

- Submission: Optional RCR option.
- Standard reviewer assignment: Nothing changes.
- RCR reviewer assignment:
 - Concurrent with standard reviews.
 - As early as possible in review process.
 - Known to and works with authors during the RCR process.
- RCR process:
 - Multi-faceted approach, Bottom line: Trust the reviewer.
- Publication:
 - Replicated Computational Results Designation.
 - The RCR referee acknowledged.
 - Review report appears with published manuscript.





RCR Process: Two Basic Approaches

- 1. Independent replication (3 options):
 - A. Transfer of, or pointer to, author's software.
 - B. Guest account, access to author's software.
 - C. Observation of authors replicating results.

Or (Not used with TOMS, but with SC)

- 2. Review of computational results artifacts:
 - Results may be from an unavailable system.
 - Leadership class computing system.
 - In this situation:
 - Careful documentation of the process.
 - Software should have its own substantial V&V process.

TOMS:

- First RCR paper in TOMS issue 41:3
 - Editorial introduction.
 - van Zee & van de Geijn, BLIS paper.
 - Referee report.
- Second: TOMS 42:1
 - Hogg & Scott.
- Third: TOMS 42:4.
- More in the meantime.

TOMACS

Similar.









Big Picture of ACM RCR

- Improve science.
 - Quality of prose: Good.
 - Quality of data: Poor.
- So bad now:
 - Trust comes from seeing a "cloud" of similar papers with similar results.

Thank you for taking the time to consider our paper for your journal.

XXX has agreed to undergo the RCR process should the paper proceed far enough in the review process to qualify. To make this easier we have preserved the exact copy of the code used for the results (including additional code for generating detailed statistics that is not in the library version of the code).

- Which could still be wrong (built on a common bad piece).
- Replicability: First step toward improvement.
- Engage a "dark portion" of the R&D community.
 - Reviewers not among typical reviewer pool.
 - Practitioners, users. Expert at use of Math SW.



SC18/19 Reproducibility Initiative

- Two appendices:
 - -Artifact description (AD).
 - Blue print for setting up your computational experiment.
 - Makes it easier to rerun computations in future.
 - AD appendix will be mandatory for SC19 paper submissions.
 - -Artifact Evaluation (AE).
 - Targets "boutique" environments.
 - Improves trustworthiness when re-running hard, impossible.
- Details:

-https://collegeville.github.io/sc-reproducibility/







Reproducibility and Supercomputing

Scenario:

You compute a "hero" calculation using 5M core-hours on Mira and submit your results for publication. During the review process, a referee questions the validity of your results. What options are feasible:

- The reviewer re-runs your code on a laptop or cluster.
- The reviewer re-runs your code on Mira.
- You re-run your code on Mira.
- Your results are rejected.
- Your results are accepted, but with risk.





Example: HPCG Benchmark

- Exploit two properties:
 - -Spectral properties of CG:
 - Eigenvalue clustering.
 - CG convergence related to number of *distinct* eigenvalues.
 - -Operator symmetry:
 - Compact Finite Difference operator is symmetric.
 - Multigrid is symmetric.



Example: HPCG Benchmark

- Symmetry:
 - -For any linear operator A, $x^TAy = y^TA^Tx$.
 - -If A symmetric $A = A^T$, so $x^T A y = y^T A x$.
 - -And **x^TAy y^TAx = 0**.
- •HPCG computes the above expression for:
 - –User matrix and the preconditioner.
 - –Numerical detail: Need to scale by vector & matrix norms.



Example: HPCG Benchmark

- Eigenvalue clustering:
 - HPCG matrix is 27-point finite difference stencil.
 - -1 off diagonals, diagonally dominant, zero Dirichlet BCs.
 - Max diagonal value 27.
 - Idea: Temporarily replace diagonal values.
 - For i=1:9 A(i,i) = (i+1)*1.0E6
 - For i>9 A(i,i) = 1.0E6
- Questions:
 - How many distinct diagonal values?
 - How many unpreconditioned CG iterations?
 - How many preconditioned CG iterations?





Sources for Artifact Evalution metrics

- Synthetic operators with known:
 - Spectrum (Huge diagonals).
 - Rank (by constructions).
- Invariant subspaces:
 - Example: Positional/rotational invariance (structures).
- Conservation principles:
 - Example: Flux through a finite volume.
- General:
 - Pre-conditions, post-conditions, invariants.

Can you think of something for your problems?







Reproducibility and Publications

- These conferences expect artifact evaluation appendices (most optionally):
 - CGO, PPoPP, PACT, RTSS and SC.
 - <u>http://fursin.net/reproducibility.html</u>
- ACM Replicated Computational Results (RCR).
 - ACM TOMS, TOMACS.
 - <u>http://toms.acm.org/replicated-computational-results.cfm</u>
- ACM Badging.
 - <u>https://www.acm.org/publications/policies/artifact-review-badging</u>
 - Used with SC technical program.



Software Quality

ECP Software: Productive, sustainable ecosystem

Extend current technologies to exascale where possible



Goal

Build a comprehensive, coherent software stack that enables application developers to productively write highly parallel applications that effectively target diverse exascale architectures

Perform R&D required for new approaches when necessary

Coordinate with and complement vendor efforts

Develop and deploy high-quality and robust software products





ECP software: Challenges

Billion way concurrency: Several novel compute nodes.

Challenges Qualitative changes: Massive concurrency; Multi-scale, multiphysics, data-driven science; Ecosystem integration

Coupled apps: Physics, scales, in situ data, more.

Data-driven: New software HPC environments, containers.

Ecosystem: Part of a large, complex, evolving SW environment.



Exascale Computing Project 2.0





Extreme-Scale Scientific Software Stack – E4S

- <u>E4S</u>: A Spack-based distribution of ECP ST and related and dependent software tested for interoperability and portability to multiple architectures.
- Provides from-source and four container versions.
- Provides distinction between SDK usability / general quality / community and deployment / testing goals
- Will leverage and enhance SDK interoperability thrust
- Oct: E4S 0.1 24 full, 24 partial release products
- Jan: E4S 0.2 <u>37 full</u>, 10 partial release products
- Current primary focus: Facilities deployment
 NIS



<u>e4s.io</u>

Lead: Sameer Shende (U Oregon)





E4S Full Release and Installed Packages

- Adios
- Bolt
- Caliper
- Darshan
- Gasnet
- GEOPM
- GlobalArrays
- Gotcha
- HDF5
- HPCToolkit
- Hypre
- Jupyter
- Kokkos
- Legion

- Libquo
- Magma
- MFEM
- MPICH
- OpenMPI
- PAPI
- Papyrus
- Parallel netCDF
- ParaView
- PETSc/TAO
- Program
 Database
- Toolkit (PDT)

- Qthreads
- Raja
- SCR
- Spack
- Strumpack
- Sundials
- SuperLU
- Swift/T
- SZ
- Tasmanian
- TAU
- Trilinos
- VTKm
- Umpire

4.4.4				3.10				
linux-centos7-x	86_64 / gcc#4.8.	5						
autoconf@2.69 c	uda@9.1.85	gmp%6.1.2	kokkos@2.03.00	libxm1282.9.4	mpich#3.2.1	openss1@1.0.2n	readline#7.0	
automake#1.15.1 f	lex92.6.4	help2mon#1.47.4	libpcioccess80.13.5	m491.4.18	ncurses@6.0	popi@5.5.1	tor#1.29	
bison#3.8.4 g	cc97.3.0	hwloc#1.11.9	libsigsegve2.11	magma92.4.0	numact182.0.1	1 pdt@3.25	util-mocros@1.19.1	
bzip2#1.0.6 g	dbm@1.14,1	hwloce2.0.1	libtool@2.4.6	mpc@1.1.0	openblase0.2.	20 per105.24.1	xz#5.2.3	
cmake#3.11.1 g	ettext#0,19.8,1	is100.19	libunwind#1.1	mpfr04.0,1	openmpi@3.0.1	pkgconf@1.4.0	zlib01.2.11	
linux-centos7-x	86_64 / gcc@7.3.	e	******					
adios 1.13.1	freetype02.	7.1	json-ce0.13.1	libxfixes@5.	0.2 \$	idp185.5.1	py-mccabe90.6.1	sqlite@3.22.0
odlbx00.8.0	gasnet=1.3	0,0	kbproto91.0.7	libxml202.9.	4 5	apyrus@develop	py-mock=2.0.0	stc=0.7.3
adlbx#0.8.0	gosnet@1,34	0.0	kokkos#2.03.00	libxshmfence	#1.Z p	araview05.4.1	py-mpi4py=3.0.0	strumpack@3.1.1
ont@1.9.9	gdb88.0.1		kvtree#1.0.2	libxt81.1.5	F	armetis@4.0.3	py-natsort@5.2.0	suite-sporse#5.2.
autoconf@2.69	gdbm=1.14.1		lcms#2.8	libxv#1.0.10	5	dtch82.7.6	py-nose#1.3.7	sundials@3.1.0
automake81.14	geopmild.4.0	a second	legion#17.10.0	libxvmc@1.0.	9 5	cre#8.41	py-numexpr@2.6.1	superlu%5.2.1
automake@1.15.1	gettext 0.1	9.8.1	leveldb#1.20	libyogrt=1.2	9-6 \$	creil8.41	py-numpy@1.13.3	superlu-dist#5.2.
ax100.1.1	git#2.15.1		libarchive#3.3.2	1mod07.7.13	5	dsh92.31	py-pandas90.21.1	swigP3.0.12
binutils@2,27	glib#2.56.0)	libbsd 0.8.6	lua#5.3.4	F	dt#3.25	py-pbr#3.1.1	sz#1.4.12.3
binutils#2.29.1	glm[0.9.7.1		libcircleF0.2.1-rc.1	lua-luafiles	ystem 1 6 3 p	er105.24.1	py-pillow 3.2.0	tor@1.29
bison#3.0.4	globalarray	15=5.7	libedit#3.1-20170320	lua-luaposix	#33.4.0 #	etsc#3.8.4	py-pkgconfig@1.2.2	tasmanian 6.0
bolt#1.001	glproto#1.4	.17	libffi@3.2.1	lwgrp#1.0.2	5	flotran@ksdk-0.3.0	py-py91.4.33	tou#2.28
boost01.66.0	gnpH6.1.2		libice#1.0.9	1z481.8.1.2	5	rixman/90.34.0	py-pycodestyle#2.3.1	tcl#8.6.8
boost#1.66.0	gobject-int	rospection#1.49.2	libiconv=1.15	lzma@4.32.7		kgconf#1.4.0	py-pyflakes@1.6.0	texinfo%6.5
boost@1.68.0	gotchael.0.	2	libjpeg-turbom1.5.3	1zo97.09		resentproto=1.0	py-pyparsing@2.2.0	tk#8.6.8
bzip201.0.6	gotcholdeve	lop	libmng@2.0.3	m491.4.18		rotobufe3.5.1.1	py-pytobles#3.3.0	trilinos 12.12.1
c-blosc@1.12.1	operf@3.0.4		libpciaccess@.13.5	matio@1.5.9		y-argparse#1.4.0	py-pytest@3.6.0	turbine@1.0.0
cairo01.14.12	harfbuzz01	4.6	libpfm494.8.0	metis#5.1.0		y-babe102.4.0	py-pytz82017.2	turbine#1.0.0
caliper#1.8.0	hdf501.8.19		libpng#1.6.34	mfem93.3.2		y-bottleneck 1.0.0	py-scipy#1.0.0	umpineEmdster
cmake#3.11.1	hdf5@1.8.19	1	libpthread-stubs=0.4	minicondo2	.3.30 0	v-configoarser03.5.0	py-setuptools=39.0.1	unifycrimoster
conduitenoster	hdf5#1.10.1		libguo#1.3	miniconda3	.3.30 p	y-cycler@0.10.0	py-six#1.11.0	util-macros@1.19.
cur107.59.0	hdf5#1.10.1		libsigsegv02.11	mpich#3.2.1	5	v-cython%0.28.1	py-subprocess32#3.2.7	veloc#1.0
damogeproto=1.2.1	hdf5#1.10.1		libsm#1.2.2	mumps#5.1.1		v-dateutil02.5.2	pythone2.7.14	videoproto 2.3.3
dorshon-runtime=3.	1.6 hdf5#1.10.1		libtiff84.0.6	nasm#2.13.03		v-enum34@1.1.6	phul1#2015.2	vtkm@moster
darshan-utile3.1.6	helpZmon#1	47.4	libtiff#4.8.8	ncurses86.8		y-flake8#3.5.0	athreads@1.12	vtkm01.1.0
doxygen=1.8.12	hpctoolkit	2017.06	libtool02.4	netcdf#4.4.1	.1 0	v-funcsios00.4	r#3.4.3	xcb-proto%1.13
dtcmp91.1.0	hpctoolkit-	externals@2017.06	libtool%2.4.2	netlib-scale	pack#2.0.2 p	y-functools3203.2.3	2 raja(0.5.3	xextproto\$7.3.0
er90.0.3	hwlocel.11	9	libtool@2.4.6	nettle83.3		w-h5py02.7.1	ronkstree.e.2	xproto#7.0.31
executils00.5.3	hwloce2.0.1		Libunwind#1.1	ninig=1.8.7		v-hypothesise3.7.0	readlineP7.0	xtranski 3.5
expot#2.2.2	hypne#2,13	8	libx1191.6.5	numact102.0	11	w-iinia2#2.9.6	redset#0.0.3	xz85.2.3
fftw#3.3.7	hypre#2.13	0	libxdu ⁰¹ .0.8	openblas .	.20	v-kiwisolver#1.0.1	ruby92.2.0	zfp90.5.0
fixesproto 5.0	icu4ch60.1		Libxcb#1.13	openmpi@3.0	1	w-lit#0.5.0	ruby-ronn60.7.3	zlib91.2.11
flex#7.6.4	insutoroto	23.2	libxdomoceP1.1.4	noenssle1 0	20	w-mako#1.8.4	screet 7.2	zsh#5.4.7
font-utile1.3.1	intel-tbb	018.7	Libxdmcp@1.1.7	otf202.1		v-markupsafe=1.0	shuffileP0.0.3	zstd91.3.0
fontconfig#2.12.3	idk@8u141-b	15	libxext#1.3.3	panao#1,41,6		w-matolotlib92.2.2	snappy@1_1_7	0.000 2000 2000
	Jan Contract of	1999 C		- the state of the		A marken and the fair	a abb 3 cars and	

Packages installed using Spack

- UnifyCR
- Veloc
- xSDK







ECP ST Software Release Goals

- Build All ST Products that are ready.
 - Product readiness is part of success criteria.
 - Number of releasable products increase over time.
- SDKs will provide product suites.
 - Similar products, interoperable.
 - Consistent versions of dependencies.
 - Math SDK (aka, xSDK) is first SDK.
- We build the whole tree, so any subtree will be stable.
 - spack install xsdk Build entire math SDK.
 - spack install sundials Guaranteed to build correctly.



35 SPPEXA Workshop, Michael A. Heroux, Université de Versailles

E4S: Providing a Common Environment Using Containers

- Useful for:
 - Testing
 - Target platforms are well-defined and accessible
 - Development
 - Demonstration
 - Already used for different tutorials, including CANDLE
 - Deployment
 - Achieving interoperability
 - Creating Spack "recipes" and Spack Stacks
- Not a replacement for Spack-based build-from-source installations
 - Near-term deployment primarily bare metal
- Docker, Shifter, Singularity, and Charliecloud are supported
 - Different facilities support and are exploring different technologies



Software Development Kits

A Software Integration Strategy for CSE



- <u>SDK</u>: A collection of related software products (called packages) where coordination across package teams will improve usability and practices and foster community growth among teams that develop similar and complementary capabilities. SDKs have the following attributes:
 - Domain scope: Collection makes functional sense.
 - Interaction model: How packages interact; compatible, complementary, interoperable.
 - Interfaces and common versions of 3rd party software.
 - Community policies: Value statements; serve as criteria for membership.
 - Community interaction: Communication between teams. Bridge culture. Common vocabulary.
 - Meta-infrastructure: Encapsulates, invokes build of all packages (Spack), shared test suites.
 - Coordinated plans: Inter-package planning. Does not replace autonomous package planning.
 - Community outreach: Coordinated, combined tutorials, documentation, best practices.
- Unity in essentials, otherwise diversity.







ECP ST SDK Breakdown

				Visualization Analysis	Data Mgmt, I/O Services, &	
xSDK (16)	PMR Core (17)	Tools and Technology (11)	Compilers & Support (7)	& Reduction (9)	Checkpoint restart (12)	
hypre	Legion	TAU	openarc	ParaView	FAODEL	
FleSCI	Kokkos (Support)	HPCToolkit	Kitsune	Catalyst	ROMIO	
MFEM	RAJA	Dyninst Binary Tools	LLVM	VTK-m	Mercury (part of Mochi suite)	Ecosystem/E4S at-large (12)
Kokkoskernels	CHAI	Gotcha	CHiLL Autotuning Compiler	SZ	HDF5	BEE
Trilinos	PaRSEC*	Caliper	LLVM OpenMP compiler	zfp	Parallel netCDF	FSEFI
SUNDIALS	DARMA	PAPI	OpenMP V & V	Vislt	ADIOS	Kitten Lightweight Kernel
PETSc/TAO	GASNet-EX	Program Database Toolkit	Flang/LLVM Fortran compiler	ASCENT	Darshan	COOLB
libEnsemble	Othreads	Search using Random Forests		Cinema	UnifyCR	NRM
STRUMPACK	BOLT	Siboka		ROVER	VeloC	ArgoContainers
SuperLU	UPC++	C2C			IOSS	Spack
ForTrilinos	MPICH	Sonar			НХНІМ	MarES
SLATE	Open MPI		Key		SCR	GUE
MAGMA	Umpire		PMR			Intel GEOPM
DTK	QUO		Tools			mniFilel Itils
Tasmanian	Papyrus		Math Libraries			
TuckerMPI	SICM		Data and Vis			morro
	AML		Ecosystems and Delivery			







Horizonal (vs Vertical) Coupling

- Common substrate
- Similar function and purpose
 - e.g., compiler frameworks, math libraries

Horizontal grouping:

• Assures X=Y.

• Protects against regressions.

Office of

- Transforms code coupling from heroic effort to turnkey.
- Potential benefit from common Community Policies
 - Best practices in software design and development and customer support
- Used together, but not in the long vertical dependency chain sense
- Support for (and design of) common interfaces
 - Commonly an aspiration, not yet reality,

xSDK-0.3.0: Dec 2017



xSDK Version 0.4.0: December 2018



ECP ST SDK community policies: Important team building, quality improvement, membership criteria.

 SDK Community Policy Strategy Review and revise xSDK community policies and categorize Generally applicable In what context the policy is applicable Allow each SDK latitude in customizing appropriate community policies Establish baseline policies in FY19 Q2, continually refine SDK Compatible package: Must satisfy mandatory xSDK policies: 1. Support xSDK community GNU Autoconf or CMake options. 2. Provide a comprehensive test suite. 3. Employ user-provided MPI communicator. 4. Give best offert at portability to low architectures	 Recommended policies: encouraged, not required: R1. Have a public repository. R2. Possible to run test suite under valgrind in order to test for memory corruption issues. R3. Adopt and document consistent system for error conditions/exceptions. R4. Free all system resources it has acquired as soon as they are no longer needed. R5. Provide a mechanism to export ordered list of library dependencies. xSDK member package: An xSDK-compatible package, <i>that</i> uses or can be used by another package in the xSDK, and the connecting 	
M4. Give best effort at portability to key architectures. M5. Provide a documented, reliable way to contact the development team. 	interface is regularly tested for regressions. https://xsdk.info/policies	
Prior to defining and complying with these policies, a user could not correctly, much less easily, build hypre, PETSc, SuperLU and Trilinos in a single executable: a basic requirement for some	Initially the xSDK team did not have sufficient common understanding to jointly define community policies.	
$\Box \cup \Gamma$ app multi-scale/multi-physics enoris.	_	







SDK Summary

- New Effort: Started in April, fully established in August.
- Extending the SDK approach to all ECP ST domains.
 - SDKs create a horizontal coupling of software products, teams.
 - Create opportunities for better, faster, cheaper pick all three.
- First concrete effort: Spack target to build all packages in an SDK.
 - Decide on good groupings.
 - Not necessarily trivial: Version compatibility issues. Coordination of common dependencies.

Office of

- SDKs will help reduce complexity of delivery:
 - Hierarchical build targets.
 - Distribution of software integration responsibilities.
- Longer term:
- 43 SPPEXA Werkshop, Michael A, Heroux, Université de Versailles – Establish community policies, enhance best practices sharing

ECP Software Technology Capability Assessment Report (Version 1.5 February 1, 2019)

- Three document elements:
 - 1. Executive summary Public content.
 - 2. Project Description Public content.
 - E4S, SDKs, Delivery strategy, new projects.
 - Technical areas overview.
 - Deliverables: Products, Standards committees, contributions to external products.
 - Project two-pages: 55 with description, activities, challenges, next steps.
 - 3. Appendix ECP/Stakeholder content.
 - Project management.
 - Impact goals/metrics framework.
 - Gaps and Overlaps.
 - ASC-ASCR leverage tables.
- LaTeX, separate contributors, easily updated.
- 225 pages (196 public), update twice a year.





Community



Interoperable Design of Extreme-scale Application Software (IDEAS)

Motivation

Enable *increased scientific productivity,* realizing the potential of extreme-scale computing, through *a new interdisciplinary and agile approach to the scientific software ecosystem*.

Objectives

Address confluence of trends in hardware and increasing demands for predictive multiscale, multiphysics simulations.

Respond to trend of continuous refactoring with efficient agile software engineering methodologies and improved software design.



Impact on Applications & Programs

Terrestrial ecosystem *use cases tie IDEAS to modeling and simulation goals* in two Science Focus Area (SFA) programs and both Next Generation Ecosystem Experiment (NGEE) programs in DOE Biologic and Environmental Research (BER).



Use Cases: Terrestrial Modeling Software Productivity for Extreme-scale Science For Software Productivity for Extreme-scale Scientific Software Development Kit (xSDK)

Approach

ASCR/BER partnership ensures delivery of both crosscutting methodologies and metrics with impact on real application and programs.
 Interdisciplinary multi-lab team (ANL, LANL, LBNL, LLNL, ORNL, PNNL, SNL)
 ASCR Co-Leads: Mike Heroux (SNL) and Lois Curfman McInnes (ANL)
 BER Lead: David Moulton (LANL)
 Integration and synergistic advances in three communities deliver scientific

ntegration and synergistic advances in three communities deliver scientific productivity; outreach establishes a new holistic perspective for the broader scientific community.

IDEAS history

DOE ASCR/BER partnership began in Sept 2014

Program Managers:

- Paul Bayer, David Lesmes (BER)
- Thomas Ndousse-Fetter (ASCR)

First-of-a-kind project: qualitatively new approach based on making productivity and sustainability the explicit and primary principles for guiding our decisions and efforts.







New blog article ... SC18: Does That Stand for "Software Conference"?

Better Scientific Software (BSSw)

Scientific software has emerged as an essential discipline in its own right. Because computational models, computer architectures, and scientific software projects have become extremely complex, the Computational Science & Engineering (CSE) community now has a unique opportunity—and an implicit mandate—to address pressing challenges in scientific software productivity, quality, and sustainability.

https://bssw.io

×

Collaborative content development on general topics topics related to developer productivity and software sustainability for CSE

We want and *need* contributions from the community ... Join us!

better

scientific

BSSw site history ... And an invitation: Join us!

- BSSw site launched at SC17
 - BOF on Software Engineering and Reuse in Computational Science and Engineering
 - <u>https://swe-cse.github.io/2017-11-sc17-bof</u>
- Seeking contributions from US and international CSE community
 - Researchers, practitioners, and stakeholders from national laboratories, academic institutions, and industry ... share your resources, experiences, etc.

Over time: Collaborate to build the site to a vibrant community resource

- Content and editorial processes provided by volunteers throughout the CSE community
- We need your contributions!

Initiative of the IDEAS Software Productivity Project

- Support from DOE Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research, DOE Exascale Computing Project
- Thank you to DOE program mangers Thomas Ndousse-Fetter, Paul Bayer, and David Lesmes for encouragement and support

48 SPPEXA Workshop, Michael A. Heroux, Université de Versailles





Promoting collaborative content creation through GitHub backend

BSSw Software Platform

Component		Frontend	
Technology	Google Docs	GitHub	Ruby on Rails
Location	Google Drive	betterscientificsoftware GitHub organization	https://bssw.io
Purpose	 Rapid collaborative content development Multi-user typing, suggest edits, comments 	 Content creation, refinement, management (from Google Drive) Content packaging for use with bssw.io 	 User-facing portal Polished backend content Blogs Mailing lists
Contributors	Community subject matter experts	Community subject matter experts, BSSw staff	BSSw staff. Web development experts
Consumers	BSSw GitHub Backend	BSSw Frontend	CSE community
Content Notes	Content migrates to GitHub after it stabilizes	Content managed in git repos, markdown	Content from Backend

Contribute! Share your insights on CSE software practices and processes:

- https://github.com/betterscientificsoftware/betterscientificsoftware.github.io/blob/master/README.md
- Or search "github betterscientificsoftware"

49 SPPEXA Workshop, Michael A. Heroux, Université de Versailles





Resource topics

Better Performance:

- High-performance computing
- Performance at LCFs
- Performance portability





NS

ENERGY

Science

Better Skills:

Resource examples

Curated links: A brief article that highlights other web-based articles or content. Your article should describe why the CSE community might find value.

An Introduction To Software Licensing

Share f ♥ in %

This tutorial provides a brief introduction to software copyright and licensing for researchers in computational science and engineering. Explains the difference between closed and open source software, and copyleft and permissive open source licenses. Outlines a variety of factors researchers might want to consider when selecting a software license. Provides links to some key web resources as a starting point for deeper exploration.

Prerequisites

What Is Software Intellectual Property?

PUBLISHED JUNE 20, 2017 CONTR

CONTRIBUTOR DAVID BERNHOLDT

Tutorial presented at SIAM CSE17: CSE Collaboration through Software: Improving Productivity and Sustainability.

A recording of this tutorial presentation is available at https://www.pathlms.com/siam/courses /4150/sections/5826/video_presentations/42639

https://bssw.io/resources/an-introduction-to-software-licensing

Planning For Better Software: PSIP Tools

Share f 🌶 in %

Scientific software teams are typically focused on the creation of a new set of features that will enable the next set of computational experiments. Teams seldom have the time to stop development and focus solely on improving productivity or sustainability. However, teams can incorporate improvements *on the way* to developing new science capabilities.

Prerequisites

CSE Software Requirements?

What Are Strategies For More Effective Teams?

PUBLISHED NOVEMBER 21, 2017

CONTRIBUTOR MIKE HEROUX

The Productivity and Sustainability Improvement Planning (PSIP) process recognizes that productivity and sustainability improvements for scientific software benefit from an incremental, iterative approach. The PSIP-Tools GitHub repo is a collection of documents that enable the adoption and use of PSIP for a software team. The PSIP-Tools repo contains everything from a template for the first introduction letter to a complete interview guide, interview prompts and expected timeline.

The PSIP process has been successfully used to help scientific software teams acheive incremental, sustainable process improvement, while still achieving their science goals.

https://bssw.io/resources/planning-for-better-software-psip-tools

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF







BSSw blog articles

 □
 better scientific software
 Find Resources

BSSw Blog

Better Scientific Software (BSSw) presents articles from expert community members on a topics related to software productivity and sustainability.

Would you like share your ideas through a blog article?

The BSSw blog provides a platform to inform, inspire, and mobilize the community toward better software practices. Please see details on how to contribute to BSSw.

better scientific software

HOME > BLOG > 2018 > BETTER SCIENCE THROUGH SOFTWARE TESTING

Better Science Through Software Testing

Share f ♥ in %



HIGH-FLUX ISOTOPE REACTOR

PUBLISHED FEBRUARY 2, 2018

AUTHOR TOM EVANS

TOPIC(S) TESTING, REQUIREMENTS, DESIGN

In November 2017, Tom Evans gave a webinar titled "Managing Defects in HPC Software Development" in the series Best Practices for HPC Software Developers. In this article, Tom summarizes how the strategies he employs have helped his teams deliver better science. Tom is a scientist at Oak Ridge National Laboratory; he leads the project "Coupled Monte Carlo Neutronics and Fluid Flow Simulation of Small Modular Reactors," part of the DOE Exascale Computing Project.

Contributor Tom Evans, ORNL



Communities Overview

The Better Scientific Software umbrella encompasses a rich variety of communities who are working to advance the methods, practices, and processes of CSE software.



Community landing pages



Community-specific landing pages, tailored to unique perspectives and priorities, provide a variety of starting points for using the BSSw site and promote a shared understanding of CSE software issues. Curators of a community landing page can customize content to serve the needs community members through highlighted resources and other custom content.

Better Scientific Software Communities:

- Exascale Computing Community
- Scientific Libraries Community
- O Community of Supercomputing Facilities and Their Users
- Software Engineering Community
- Environmental System Science Community

We want your input and perspectives. Please contact us if you would like to start a community-specific landing page.

Featured Resources for the Environmental System Science Community

ALE

ITING

Multiphysics Simulations: Challenges And Opportunities TOPICS SOFTWARE INTEROPERABILITY AND HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING

Enabling Interoperable Biogeochemistry With Alquimia

Team Of Teams: Strategies For Large Organizations

TOPIC STRATEGIES FOR MORE EFFECTIVE TEAMS

Latest Addition: CMS

- MoISSI (VA-Tech)
- Community Page for Computational Molecular Science.

🖓 be	tterscientificsoftware / betterscientificsoftware.github.io	* Unstar 32 ¥ Fork 17
00	Code 🕥 Issues 75 👖 Pull requests 0 📰 Projects 2 📾 Wild 🕼 Insights 🔿 Settings	
Cre #2!	eate Computational Molecular Sciences Community	Edit
(P- Ma	Conversation 2 Commits 2 Piles changed 2	+28 - 0 mmm
-	Write Preview Ar B / ແ o ⊙ ⊞ ⊞ *≘ ★• @ R	Reviewers (No reviews—request one
	This PR creates the landing page for Computational Molecular Sciences. It also adds the page to the communities list. Consider this a draft for discussion at the video conference next week.	Assignees C No one-assign yourself
	CC @bernhold @curfman @maherou Attach files by dragging & drogging or selecting them.	Labels C None yet
	C3 Styling with Markdown is supported Cancel Update comment	Projects C None yet
	bennybp added some commits 11 days ago If create ComputationalPolecular.md worked b712551	Milestone () No milestone
	 Add CMS to communities list Verified 344effb 	Notifications
	maherou commented 8 days ago Owner + 👜 🥓 🗙	Vnsubscribe You're receiving notifications
	Thank you for this contribution. I will merge.	because you modified the open/close state.
	B maherou merged commit researt into betterscientificsoftware:master 8 days ago Revert	3 participants
600	and the assessment of 2 days and	And a second second second



HOME > COMMUNITIES > COMPUTATIONAL MOLECULAR SCIENCES COMMUNITY

Computational Molecular Sciences Community

Computational molecular science (CMS) encompasses the fields of quantum chemistry, materials science, and biomolecular simulation, including both atomistic and coarse-grained models — ranging from classical molecular dynamics to quantum mechanics and the hierarchy of models in between.

Learn More About Communities

CMS has provided unprecedented levels of insight into a wide range of chemical, biological, and soft matter and solid-state phenomena. Today tens of thousands of

B better scientific software

BSSw Fellowship Program

The Better Scientific Software (BSSw) Fellowship Program gives recognition and funding to leaders and advocates of high-quality scientific software.

About Our Fellows Program

The main goal of the BSSw Fellowship program is to foster and promote practices, processes, and tools to improve developer productivity and software sustainability of scientific codes. We also anticipate accumulating a growing community of BSSw Fellowship alums who can serve as leaders, mentors, and consultants to increase the visibility of those involved in scientific software production and sustainability in the pursuit of scientific discovery.

BSSw Fellows are selected annually based on an application process that includes the proposal of a funded activity that promotes better scientific software. We select at least three Fellows per year and honorable mentions as appropriate. Each 2019 BSSw Fellow will receive up to \$25,000 for an activity that promotes better scientific software. Activities can include organizing a workshop, preparing a tutorial, or

Ω

Sandia SW Engineering and Research (SEAR) Department

- New department focused on making CSE Research SW better:
 - Focus on scientific & engineering research software.
 - Improve developer productivity and software sustainability.
 - Bring a critical mass of existing staff (but not necessarily all) into a department.
 - Attract new talent by making SW Eng & Research first-class citizen.
 - Build a community presence visible to DOE and external community.
 - Build on Sandia's native engineering culture.
- Three primary department workflows:
 - Research: Participate in research community to understand and create new knowledge for improving CSE research software.
 - Develop: Identify, cultivate SW best practices prioritized for CSE research software development (part of SEMS scope).
 - Deploy: Provide effective SW tools and environments adapted to CSE research software teams (part of SEMS scope).





Office of



SEAR Department Workflows



Cross-informed requirements, analysis, design



Types of workflows (not necessarily people)

Why First-Class SW Focus now: The "No CS" Scenario

Scenario: Suppose our research centers had no formally trained computer scientists and CS work had to be done by people who learned it on their own, or just happened to study a bit of CS as part of their other formal training. This situation is undesirable in three ways:

- 1. We have non-experts doing CS work, making them less available in their expertise.
- 2. CS work takes a long time to complete compared to other work.
- 3. We get suboptimal results and pay high ongoing maintenance cost.

Replace "CS" with "Software" in this scenario and the situation describes computational science and engineering (CSE) software today.

Why focus on software expertise now:

- The role of software has become central to much of our work and the knowledge base is too sophisticated to rely only on non-experts.
- CSE success depends on producing high-quality, sustainable software products.
- Investing in software as a first class pursuit improves the whole CSE ecosystem.

Applying Social Science to Software Teams

- Reed Milewicz my postdoc.
- Elaine Raybourn Sandia social scientist recruited to my team.
- New scientific tools to study and improve developer productivity, software sustainability.
- Correlation: Happiness and connectedness.
- Next: Design experiments to detect cause and effect.



62

Personal Expectations

Calling out the best in team members

A Few Concrete Recommendations

Show me the person making the most commits on an undisciplined software project and I will show you the person who is injecting the most technical debt.

• GitHub stats: Easy to find who made the most commits.

- Some people: Pride in their high ranking.

- Instead, be the person who ranks high in these ways:
 - Writes up requirements, analysis and design, even if simple.
 - Writes good GitHub issues, tracks their progress to completion.
 - Comments on, tests and accepts pull requests.
 - Provide good wiki, gh-pages content, responses to user issues.



(Personal) Productivity++ Initiative Ask: Is My Work _____?



https://github.com/trilinos/Trilinos/wiki/Productivity---Initiative

⁶⁵ Reproducibility: A keystone habit

Alcoa and Worker Safety (Duhigg)

- Year: 1987
- Investors concerned about Alcoa.
- Paul O'Neill Selected Alcoa CEO, not well known.
- First statement: "I want to talk to you about worker safety."
- Investors panicked. But ...
- Executed top-to-bottom safety focus.
- 10X injury drop, 5X revenue growth.

"I knew I had to transform Alcoa. But you can't order people to change. So I decided I was going to start by focusing on one thing. If I could start disrupting the habits around one thing, it would spread throughout the entire company." - Paul O'Neill









Office of





Reproducibility and Computational Science

- Aluminum workers:
 - 1500 degree heat, dangerous machines.
 - Safety is key.
- Reproducibility: Key for computational science.
- Can we make reproducibility requirements the keystone habit?
- Experiment:
 - 2020 Sandia LDRD projects: All computational results must be reproducible.
 - Drives rigor, innovation: provenance, tools, practices, communication.
 - Engages Sandia Technical Library.
- Anticipated:
 - Holistic focus on doing the right things.
 - Strong incentive to do things right.







Making Reproducibility Indispensable

- We see heightened focus on:
 - Workflows.
 - Reproducibility requirements.
 - Software quality requirements.
 - Community Incentives.
- We have improved tools, practices, processes.
- Can we expect that all published computational results will be reproducible?
- Let's make it so.

